UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

DESIREE JUSTUS, :

: Case No.: 2:21-cv-2270

Plaintiff,

: Judge Sarah D. Morrison

-VS.-

Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson

NINA'S HEALTH CARE SERVICES, LLC,

et al.,

:

Defendants. :

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Parties' Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement ("Joint Motion") pursuant to § 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). (ECF No. 10.) For the following reasons, the Joint Motion is **GRANTED**. The Agreement is **APPROVED**.

Plaintiff asserts that Defendants Nina's Health Care Services, LLC and Grace Fongod violated the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. and related Ohio laws by failing to pay her the proper overtime premium for all hours worked in excess of 40 each week.

Under the proposed Settlement Agreement and General Release ("Agreement"), Plaintiff agrees to dismiss the lawsuit with prejudice and to release all wage and hour claims through the date of the Agreement's execution. Defendants, in turn, will pay \$2,500.00 to Plaintiff and \$4,274.93 to Mansell Law LLC for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs.

FLSA settlement agreements generally require approval by a district court or the United States Department of Labor. See 29 U.S.C. § 216; Gentrup v. Renovo Servs., LLC, No. 1:07-

cv- 430, 2011 WL 2532922, at *2 (S.D. Ohio June 24, 2011) (Black, J.). "Before approving a settlement, a district court must conclude that it is 'fair, reasonable, and adequate." *Int'l Union, United Auto., Aerospace, & Agric. Implement Workers v. Gen. Motors Co.*, 497 F.3d 615, 631 (6th Cir. 2007) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(C)). *See also* Crawford v. Lexington-Fayette Urban Cty. Gov't, No. 06-299-JBC, 2008 WL 4724499, at *3 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 23, 2008) (applying same factors to FLSA collection action settlement, explaining that "[t]he need for the court to ensure that any settlement of [an FLSA] collective action treats the plaintiffs fairly is similar to the need for a court to determine that any [Rule 23] class-action settlement is 'fair, reasonable, and adequate."). Courts look to several factors when undertaking this inquiry: "(1) the risk of fraud or collusion; (2) the complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation; (3) the amount of discovery engaged in by the parties; (4) the likelihood of success on the merits; (5) the opinions of class counsel and class representatives; (6) the reaction of absent class members; and (7) the public interest." *Id*.

Having reviewed the Agreement submitted to the Court as Exhibit 1 to the Joint Motion, as well as the pleadings and motions on file in this action, and for good cause established therein, the Court enters this Order approving the Agreement. The Court finds that the Agreement resolves the disputes between the parties including those under the FLSA and resulted from arms-length negotiations between counsel. The Court has considered all relevant factors, including the risk, complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation; the extent of investigation and the stage of the proceedings; the amount, if any, offered in the Settlement; and the experience and views of counsel for the Parties.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties' Joint Motion is **GRANTED**. The Settlement Agreement and General Release is **APPROVED**. The claims are **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **TERMINATE** this case from the docket of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sarah D. Morrison

SARAH D. MORRISON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE